1 2	TIMOTHY S. LEIMAN, III. Bar No. 6270153 Email: leimant@sec.gov PAUL M. G. HELMS, III. Bar No. 6291623 Email: helmsp@sec.gov				
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
4 5	United Štates Securities and Exchange Commission 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60604	on			
6	Telephone: (312) 353-7390 Facsimile: (312) 353-7398				
7	LOCAL COUNSEL Lynn M. Dean, Cal. Bar No. 205562				
8	Email: deanl@sec.gov United States Securities and Exchange Commission 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (323) 965-3998				
9 10					
11	Facsimile: (213) 443-1904				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	WESTERN DIVISION				
15					
16	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 2:15-cv-08921 SVW (MRWx)			
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER UNDER FED. R. EVID. 502(d			
18 19	vs.	ORDER UNDER FED. R. EVID. 502(d REGARDING DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED			
20	JAMMIN' JAVA CORP., dba MARLEY	INFORMATION			
21	COFFEE, SHANE G. WHITTLE, WAYNE S. P. WEAVER, MICHAEL K. SUN, RENE				
22	BERLINGER, STEPHEN B. WHEATLEY, KEVIN P. MILLER, MOHAMMED A. AL-				
23	BARWANI, ALEXANDER J. HUNTER, and THOMAS E. HUNTER,				
24					
25	Defendants.				
26					
27					

Plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and Defendants Jammin' Java Corp. ("Jammin' Java"), Shane Whittle, Wayne Weaver, Michael K. Sun, Rene Berlinger, Stephen B. Wheatley, Kevin Miller, Mohammed Al-Barwani, Alexander J. Hunter, and Thomas E. Hunter ("Defendants"), by and through their counsel, hereby stipulate to the following terms, and the Court hereby **ORDERS AS FOLLOWS**:

1. No Waiver by Disclosure.

- (a) Subject to the provisions of this Stipulation and Order, the disclosure of the following information in this litigation ("Litigation") will not constitute or be deemed a waiver or forfeiture of any claim, protection, privilege or immunity, in this or any state or federal proceeding, regardless of the circumstances of the disclosure:
 - (1) information that either party claims to be subject to the attorneyclient privilege or the work product protection;
 - (2) information that the SEC claims is subject to the deliberative process privilege or law enforcement privilege;
 - (3) information that the SEC claims was supplied by a foreign government or foreign law enforcement agency on the condition that it not be produced to third-parties, pursuant to a statute, rule, regulation, or memorandum of understanding; and
 - (4) Information subject to confidentiality provisions of Section 21F and/or Section 24 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rules promulgated thereunder.

Such information is collectively referred to in this Stipulation and Order as "Protected Information."

- (b) Notwithstanding Paragraph 1(a), "Protected Information" shall not include information that:
 - (1) was already produced during or in connection with an SEC investigation;
 - (2) was legally available from a source other than the party that

produced the information ("Disclosing Party");

- (3) the party that is the recipient of the information ("Receiving Party") thereafter lawfully receives from a nonparty without restriction as to disclosure, provided such nonparty had the right to make the disclosure; or
- (4) the Receiving Party is legally prohibited from treating as Protected Information.

2. Notification Requirements; Best Efforts of Receiving Party.

- (a) Upon learning of the disclosure of Protected Information, Disclosing Party must promptly provide written notification to the Receiving Party that it disclosed the Protected Information without intending a waiver by the disclosure ("Notification").
- (b) Upon such Notification, the Receiving Party promptly must make best efforts to identify and return, and sequester or destroy or, in the case of electronically stored information, delete the Protected Information and any reasonably accessible copies it has. The Receiving Party shall further immediately cease reviewing, disseminating, or otherwise using the Protected Information.
- (c) Within three business days of the Notification, the Receiving Party shall provide written notice to the Disclosing Party that it has taken the actions set forth in Paragraph 2(b).
- (d) Upon completing the steps set forth in Paragraph 2(b), the Receiving Party shall no longer be deemed to have the Protected Information in its possession, custody or control.
- (e) The Receiving Party shall take the steps set forth in this Paragraph even if it intends to contest the Disclosing Party's designation of Protected Information.

3. Process for Contesting Claim of Privilege or Protection.

- (a) Notwithstanding anything set forth in this Stipulation and Order, at all times the Disclosing Party retains the burden of establishing the privileged or protected nature of the Protected Information.
- (b) After the Notification, the Receiving Party may seek a written explanation from the Disclosing Party articulating in detail why the Disclosing Party believes the Protected

Information is privileged or protected. The Disclosing Party shall provide the Receiving Party with such a written explanation within three business days.

(c) The Receiving Party may move to compel disclosure of the information ("Motion to Compel"). In the Motion to Compel, the Receiving Party may petition the Court for an *in camera* review of the Protected Information. In the Motion to Compel, the Receiving Party will not: (1) disclose the information that the Producing Party claims to be privileged or protected, or (2) assert as grounds for compelling disclosure the fact or circumstances of the disclosure.

4. Applicability.

- (a) The provisions of Federal Rule of Evidence 502(b)(2) are inapplicable to the production of Protected Information under this Stipulation and Order.
- (b) To the extent Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) is found not to apply to categories of "Protected Information" set forth in Paragraph 1(a) of this Stipulation and Order, the parties to this Stipulation and Order hereby acknowledge their understanding that they can nonetheless properly and legally extend the scope of this Stipulation and Order to include such categories as Protected Information, and that they are estopped from arguing otherwise in a Motion to Compel.
- (c) This Stipulation and Order is intended to bind, and does bind, both the signatories to this Stipulation and Order, and non-parties to this litigation, including in any other federal or state proceeding.
- (d) This Stipulation and Order does not preclude a party from voluntarily waiving privilege or protection. In the event the Disclosing Party uses or indicates it may use information produced under this Stipulation and Order to support a claim or defense, the provisions of Federal Rule of Evidence 502(a) shall apply.
- (e) Nothing set forth in this Stipulation and Order is intended to supersede any laws that impose record-keeping obligations on the SEC, including without limitation the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, et seq.
- (f) As long as information is in the SEC's possession, custody or control, the SEC may share such information with any other federal, state, or foreign law enforcement authority,

agency or department. Nothing set forth in this Stipulation and Order shall limit the SEC's					
ight to do so. In this regard, the Commission often makes its files available to other					
governmental agencies, particularly Unite	overnmental agencies, particularly United States Attorneys and state prosecutors. Information				
supplied by Defendants and others during	supplied by Defendants and others during this Litigation may be made available to such				
agencies where appropriate.					
(g) Nothing in this Stipulation and Order overrides an attorney's ethical responsibilities					
to refrain from examining, and from providing notice to a Disclosing Party of the existence of,					
a document that the attorney knows or reasonably should know to be privileged or protected.					
In that event, the Receiving Party should promptly comply with the procedures set forth in					
Paragraphs 2(b) and 2(c) concerning notification, segregation and return of Protected					
Information.					
STIPULATED AND AGREED TO on the 8st of June, 2016.					
Dated: June 8, 2016	/s/Timothy S. Leiman Timothy S. Leiman U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Chicago Regional Office 175 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone: (312) 353-7390 Fax: (312) 353-7398 leimant@sec.gov Counsel for Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission				
Dated: June 8, 2016	/s/Sam Y. Edgerton, III Sam Y. Edgerton, III EDGERTON & WEAVER, LLP 2615 Pacific Coast Hwy, Suite 300 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Tel: (310) 937-2066 Fax: (310) 937-2064 sedgerton@edgertonweaver.com Counsel for Defendant Jammin' Java Corp.				
Dated: June 8, 2016	/ <i>s/ Patrick J. Boyle</i> Edward J. Loya, Jr. Venable LLP				

1		2049 Century Park East, Suite 2100
2	Π	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Fel: 310-229-9900 Ploya@venable.com
3		Patrick J. Boyle
4	J	Jessie F. Beeber Venable LLP
5	F	Rockefeller Center, 1270 Avenue of the Americas, The Twenty-Fourth Floor, New York, NY 10020
6	 	Геl: 212.808.5678 Fax: 212.307.5598
7		PBoyle@Venable.com Counsel for Defendants Michael Sun and Mohammed A.
8 9	A.	4l-Barwani
10	D . 1 I . 0 2016	/
11	Dated: June 8, 2016	/s/ Roger L. Fidler Roger L. Fidler FHE LAW OFFICES OF ROGER L. FIDLER
12	1	45 Highview Terrace
13	Π	Hawthorne, NJ, 07506 Γel: 973-949-41-93
14		Fax: 973-949-4196 Rfidler0099@aol.com
15		Counsel for Defendant Stephen Wheatley
16	Dated: June 8, 2016	/s/ Michael Ng
17		Michael Ng
18	1	KOBRE & KIM LLP 150 California Street, 19th Floor
19		San Francisco, California 94111 Γel: (415) 582-4800
20		Fax: (415) 582-4811 nichael.ng@kobrekim.com
21		Counsel for Defendants Alexander Hunter and Thomas Hunter
22		Thomas Humer
23	Date: June 8, 2016	/s/ Marc S. Harris
24		Marc S. Harris Scheper Kim & Harris LLP
25	6	501 W. Fifth Street, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-2025
26	Π	Γ (213) 613-4690 • F (213) 613-4656
27		nharris@scheperkim.com Counsel for Defendant Wayne Weaver
28		

1		
2	2 Dated: June 8, 2016/s/	' Andrew Esbenshade
3	Andre	ew Esbenshade
$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	725 S	vell Leslie & Proctor, PC outh Figueroa Street, 31st Floor angeles, CA 90017-5524
5	Tel 21 esben Cour	13.629.9040 Fax 213.629.9022 shade@caldwell-leslie.com sel for Defendant Kevin Miller
6	'	v
7	/ II	Nathan J. Hochman In J. Hochman
8	The V	an, Lewis & Bockius LLP Vater Garden, Suite 2050 North Cloverfield Boulevard
9 10	Santa Direct	Monica, CA 90404-4082 t: +1.310.255.9025 Fax: +1.310.907.2025
11		n.hochman@morganlewis.com sel for Defendant Shane Whittle
12	2 Dated: June 8, 2016	hane W. Tseng
13	Shane	e W. Tseng o & Kawakami LLP
14	222.0	outh Grand Avenue, Suite 4200
	Los A	Angeles, CA 90071
15		213-630-5500 g@lkfirm.com
16	- II	sel for Defendant Rene Berlinger
17	IT IS SO ORDERED on the day of J	une, 2016.
18	3	
19		
20	Unit	ted States District Court Judge
21		
22		
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26		
27		
28		